ANALYSIS

REDDIT TESTIMONY ON DAILY CAPPER CULTURE

tracksinclude • 7d ago
I see. I never witnessed any blackmail nor did he ever talk about it. And blackmailers were very much known in the scene. Not sure where the CP thing comes from, but many people fail to realize Daily Capper was mostly a lot of shit talking and making fun of cappers. I watch these YouTube videos made by people who obviously weren't there and they make it out like it was some highly official scene publication and take it oh so seriously, it's just silly. Heck even BlogTV moderators got the joke and they were fine with the Capper Awards ceremony being broadcasted live on the site. As for Aurora/efp, her Stickam shows had several 100s of people watching on average. The 'situation' was her being charged for producing CP and her lawyer telling her to make up some story about having been blackmailed. And that's from a post by her on AnonIB after her appearance on The View. Of course adults had no business watching an underage girl even if she was willing but that's another story.
1
Reply
Share
[deleted] OP • 7d ago
I figured the daily capper was mostly shit talking due to things they'd say about certain cappers. It seems like if the person who ran the daily capper didn't like you, then they would almost try to ridicule you in the episodes. That makes it hard to believe the truth behind certain things they said though. On another note, since you were cool with coke, were you familiar with The White Knights and Klanackle, since they are listed as his enemies? And if so, do you know why they were enemies? I constantly see these names brought up in blogs but it seems as if any lore behind them has been wiped away. Same thing with chair. I assume the white knights was some kind of capping group, and the other 2 were cappers, but is there any lore from the community you know about them?

Description

This document holds onto a Reddit thread where one user, u/tracksinclude, talks about knowing the early Daily Capper group from the inside. The account covers how the group worked together, the fights between people, the special words they used, and what folks thought of each other based on memories from those who say they were there.

The user's words show a few things. They downplay how bad the group seemed to others. They step back from the clear cases of blackmail and taking advantage of people. They describe Daily Capper mostly as trolling and just shit-talking. They say people outside the

group get it wrong or make it sound worse than it was. They admit that some bad people and blackmailers hung around near the community. They look back with a mix of fondness and defense on old events. They share personal stories about members, different sides within the group, and what roles people played, like Viper, Klanackle or Milton, Nitefeed, and WRL.

Treat this account as just one person's memory, not something proven true.

They keep it in the archives to show how people inside a group build their own stories, how bias creeps in, and how online crowds look back at their old actions much later on.

Nothing in this account proves that any crimes took place. They save it only for historical, cultural, and study reasons.

Personal statement:

Reading this account hits hard as a reminder of how harm gets rewritten after enough time goes by. The downplaying, the reframing, the push that it was ‘just trolling’. It all shows a group wanting to smooth out its rough parts. The minimization does not wipe away what occurred. It just reveals how far the problems went, and how at ease some people got with it all around them.

I’m not here to rewrite their story, I’m here to preserve the parts they’d rather forget.

-